1 Philip L. Pillsbury, Jr. (SBN 72261) Richard D. Shively (SBN 104895) 2 PILLSBURY & LEVINSON, LLP The Transamerica Pyramid 600 Montgomery Street, 31st Floor 4 San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 433-8000 Facsimile: (415) 433-4816 6 Email: ppillsbury@pillsburylevinson.com rshively@pillsburylevinson.com 7 8 David B. Goodwin (SBN 104469) Deepa Varadarajan (SBN 250845) **COVINGTON & BURLING LLP** The Transamerica Pyramid 600 Montgomery Street, 31st Floor · San Francisco, CA 94111 10 One Front Street, 35th Floor San Francisco California 94111-5356 11 Telephone: (415) 591-7074 Facsimile: (415) 955-6574 12 Email: DGoodwin@cov.com 13 Dvaradarajan@cov.com 14 Attorneys for Plaintiff CROWLEY MARITIME CORPORATION 15 16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 17 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA - SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 18 19 CROWLEY MARITIME Case No. CV-08-00830 SI CORPORATION, [Hon. Susan Illston] 20 21 Plaintiff, DECLARATION OF RICHARD D. SHIVELY IN OPPOSITION TO 22 VS. **MOTION BY TWIN CITY AND** 23 FEDERAL TO DISQUALIFY FEDERAL INSURANCE COMPANY; **CROWLEY'S COUNSEL OF** TWIN CITY FIRE INSURANCE 24 RECORD COMPANY; RLI INSURANCE 25 COMPANY; and DOES 1-20, inclusive, Date: August 22, 2008 26 9:00 a.m. Time: Defendants. Courtroom 10 Place: 27 **7** Q DECLARATION OF RICHARD D. SHIVELY OPPOSING MOTION TO DISQUALIFY Case No. CV-08-00830 SI

PILLSBURY & LEVINSON, LLP

Page 1 of 6

Action Filed:

Trial Date:

January 7, 2008

September 14, 2009

Removal Date: February 6, 2008

 I, Richard D. Shively, declare as follows:

- 1. I am an attorney with Pillsbury & Levinson, LLP, counsel of record in this action for plaintiff Crowley Maritime Corporation ("Crowley" or the "Company"). I am licensed to practice before all the courts of the State of California and am admitted to practice before the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. The statements in this Declaration are made on the basis of my own personal knowledge, except where expressly made on information and belief. I affirm that the statements made herein are true and correct; as regards the statements expressly made on information and belief, I am informed and believe that those statements are true. This Declaration is submitted in opposition to the motion by defendants Twin City Fire Insurance Company ("Twin City") and Federal Insurance Company ("Federal") (together, collectively, with defendant RLI Insurance Company, the "Insurers") to disqualify Crowley's attorneys of record, Philip L. Pillsbury, Jr. and Richard D. Shively.
- 2. Pillsbury & Levinson is a San Francisco-based law firm that specializes in representing policyholders in insurance recovery and bad faith litigation. I, along with my colleague, Philip Pillsbury, have represented Crowley in a variety of insurance matters.
- 3. Attached as Exhibit A is a letter I sent to the Insurers on March 28, 2007. In the letter, I informed the Insurers that the Pillsbury & Levinson, LLP firm had been retained by Crowley, their insured, to represent its interests in connection with Crowley's claim for insurance coverage for the action entitled Franklin Balance Sheet Investment Fund et al. v. Thomas B. Crowley, Jr. et al., Civil Action No. 888-VCP, Delaware Chancery Court for New Castle County (the "Franklin Fund Action" or the "underlying action"). Ex. A at p. 2. I informed the Insurers that an opportunity had

ኃዩ

arisen to obtain a favorable settlement of the Action, and I requested their "consent to pursue such a settlement"; I also noted that the "proposed settlement" had "not yet been consummated." *Id.*

- prevented Crowley from inviting them "to join in the negotiations." *Id.* (Those issues are discussed in the accompanying Declaration of Richard Vernon Smith. Smith Decl. ¶¶ 12-16.) I notified the Insurers that Crowley would be looking to them to cover the costs of the settlement, and I observed that Crowley was seeking the Insurers' consent "before unequivocally accepting the plaintiffs' settlement offer and proceeding to complete the settlement." Ex. A at p. 2. (In his accompanying declaration, Mr. Smith explains that, if the Insurers had promptly responded to the March 2007 request by refusing to consent, Crowley would have been entitled to cancel the proposed settlement. Smith Decl. ¶¶ 4-7.) Finally, I advised the Insurers that "a court hearing on the proposed settlement" was set for April 27, 2007, and said that "[w]e would appreciate hearing from you as soon as possible, so that Crowley can proceed, if possible, to seize this opportunity to obtain a favorable settlement." Ex. A at p. 3.
- 5. About a week later, on April 5, 2007, I got a telephone call from Henry Nicholls. Mr. Nichols had been serving as a lawyer and claim examiner for Federal and its affiliate, the Chubb Group of Insurance Companies, and he was one of the addressees ("Henry Nicholls, Esq.") to whom I sent the March 28 letter. Mr. Nicholls told me over the telephone that Federal "has no problem with consenting" to the proposed settlement, but needed additional information. Mr. Nicholls specified the following items: (1) documentation relating to the Delaware court's determination of the fee award (the proposed settlement obligated Crowley to pay the plaintiffs' attorneys' fees, in an amount to be determined by the Delaware court after briefing and argument by the parties); and (2) copies of the invoices reflecting Crowley's expenditures defending the Action.

13

14

The Transamerica Pyramid 600 Montgomery Street, 31st Floor · San Francisco, CA 94111

PILLSBURY & LEVINSON, LLP

15

16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

- 6. On April 23, 2007 I sent the requested defense cost invoices to Mr. Nicholls. Attached as **Exhibit B** is the cover letter that accompanied the invoices. On May 15, 2007 I sent the plaintiffs' fee application to Mr. Nicholls, and on May 29, 2007 I sent him a copy of Crowley's opposition brief. (The accompanying cover letters are attached as Exhibits C and D.) In the May 15 letter I solicited Federal's "comments and suggestions about how Crowley should respond" to the plaintiffs' fee request, but no response was ever received from Mr. Nicholls or anyone else at Federal.
- 7. I received no further response from Federal to the request for consent, and I never received any response at all from the other Insurers (Twin City and RLI Insurance Company). To my knowledge, the only response -- other than the April 5, 2007 telephone call -- that any Crowley representative ever received from any of the Insurers was a letter dated June 14, 2007 that Federal's Mr. Nicholls sent directly to my client (Steve Ficon, Crowley's Director of Corporate Claims) with no copy to me. That letter, which I received from Mr. Ficon, is attached as Exhibit E. In the June 14 letter, Federal took the position that there was no coverage because Crowley had negotiated with the plaintiffs, and settled their claims, without first obtaining Federal's consent in writing.
- 8. After obtaining a copy of the June 14 letter from Mr. Ficon I prepared a responsive letter, to Federal and Mr. Nicholls, which was sent on July 11, 2007. The July 11, 2007 letter is attached as Exhibit F. In that letter, I told Mr. Nicholls that Federal "appears to be withdrawing the consent it previously gave to the settlement." Ex. F at p. 1. I observed that Mr. Nicholls had "told me over the telephone on April 5, 2007 that Chubb [Federal's affiliate] had 'no problem with consenting' to the settlement." Id. at p. 2. I complained that Federal's letter of June 14 -- which contended that the "settlement of this matter without consent violates" the policy --"offered no explanation for Chubb's change of position" (id.), and that the change of position occurred "at a time when you knew it was too late for the settlement to be

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

unwound" (id. at p. 3). I concluded that, "[u]nder all these circumstances, reasonable notice has been given, Crowley has fully performed its obligations under the policy, and Chubb/Federal has either consented, has waived any right to withhold its consent, or is now estopped from withholding its consent to the settlement." Id. at p. 4.

- 9. Each of the Insurers involved in this case fully reserved its right to deny coverage for the Franklin Fund action. Attached to the Declaration of Steven Ficon as Exhibits B, C & D are the reservation of rights letters Crowley received from the Insurers. Under their policies (copies of which are attached to the Ficon Declaration as Exhibits G, I & J), none of the Insurers was obligated to provide a defense for Crowley in the underlying action. Nor did any of the Insurers provide a defense.
- 10. I reviewed the motion to disqualify by Twin City, and it misrepresents the remarks made to the Court during the case management conference held in this case on May 16, 2008. I attended the case management conference, and neither Philip Pillsbury nor I announced any decision whatsoever about whether he or I would testify as witnesses during the trial of this case -- about the consent issue or any other issue. See Twin City brief at pp. 1, 4. No such determinations have been made, and any such determination would be premature at this point.
- It also is incorrect that either Philip Pillsbury or I said that consent issues 11. were "critical to Crowley's case" (id. at pp. 1, 2, 4, 12, 16), or admitted that "consent is a condition to Twin City's obligations under its policy" (id. at p. 14), or argued that consent was "not a pure issue of law" (id. at p. 9). No such representations were made by Philip Pillsbury or myself.
- 12. Attached as Exhibits G and H are the Rule 26 disclosures served in this case by Twin City and Federal.

25

///

///

///

26

27

28

The Transamerica Pyramid 600 Montgomery Street, 31st Floor · San Francisco, CA 94111

೪

PILLSBURY & LEVINSON, LLP

I declare under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the United States of America, that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this Declaration was executed on July 31, 2008 in San Francisco, California.

Case 3:08-cv-00830-SI Document 73-2 Filed 08/01/2008 Page 1 of 15

EXHIBIT A

RICHARD D. SHIVELY

March 28, 2007

Via Fedex Overnite

Chubb Group of Insurance Companies 12 VREELAND ROAD FLORHAM PARK, NJ 07932-9095 ATTN: HENRY NICHOLLS, ESO.

Hartford/Twin City
2 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10016
Attn: Jeremy Salzman, Esq.

COVERAGE

Executive Protection Portfolio (D&O, Fiduciary, Crime & EPL)

Primary D&O

Insured: Crowley Maritime Corporation Policy Period: 11/1/2004-11/1/2005

Insurer: Federal Insurance Company (Chubb)
Limit: \$10M Term Aggregate XS \$500K

retention, each loss

Policy Number: 8120-0792

First XS D&O

Insured: Crowley Maritime Corporation Policy Period: 11/1/2004-11/1/2005

Insurer: Hartford/Twin City

Limit: \$10M Aggregate XS \$10M Underlying

Limits

Policy Number: 00 DA 0100967 04

Second XS D&O

Insured: Crowley Maritime Corporation Policy Period: 11/1/2004-11/1/2005 Insurer: RLI Insurance Company

Limit: \$5M Aggregate XS \$20M Underlying

Limit

Policy Number: EPG0002704A

RLI INSURANCE COMPANY

525 W. VAN BUREN STREET, SUITE 350

CHICAGO, IL 60607 ATTN: AMY E. JOHNSON

CLAIM

CIVIL PROCEEDING: FRANKLIN BALANCE

SHEET INVESTMENT

FUND, ET AL.

٧.

CROWLEY MARITIME
CORPORATION BOARD

OF DIRECTORS

V.

CROWLEY MARITIME

CORPORATION -

(NOMINAL DERIVATIVE

DEFENDANT)

DATE OF CLAIM/SERVICE: 12/1/04

DESCRIPTION: STATUS REPORT

EXPENSE TO DATE: \$574,911.87

OUR REF# 04DO0001 CHUBB REF#: 100304

HARTFORD REF#: 05360917

RLI Ref#: 00176418

Interested Underwriters:

This firm has been retained to represent your insured, Crowley Maritime Corporation ("Crowley"), in connection with its claim for insurance coverage for the referenced action (the "Franklin Fund Action"). We are writing to inform you that an opportunity has arisen to settle the action on favorable terms, and to seek your consent to pursue such a settlement.

The settlement opportunity arose when the plaintiffs in the Franklin Fund Action offered to dismiss their lawsuit if they and the other unaffiliated holders of Crowley common stock were given an opportunity, through a tender offer, to sell their common stock for \$2,990 per share in cash. A proposed settlement on those terms has not yet been consummated, and is subject to three contingencies. First, the tender offer must be accepted by a prescribed proportion of the unaffiliated common stock holders. Second, the proposed settlement must be approved by the Delaware Chancery Court. Third, the lawsuit must be dismissed and the time for an appeal must expire.

The proposed settlement would be in the best interest of both Crowley and its D&O insurers for two reasons. First, it would eliminate the potential for a large judgment against Crowley that might well be covered under the policies referenced above. Second, it would result in Crowley no longer being a public company -- which, in turn, would reduce the potential for future claims that might trigger coverage under the referenced policies.

After the plaintiffs had initiated the idea of a buyout to settle the Franklin Fund Action, Crowley was constrained by significant confidentiality issues that prevented it, as a practical matter, from immediately inviting its D&O carriers to join in the negotiations. Those issues related not only to applicable SEC disclosure rules, but also to financing arrangements necessary to fund the proposed purchase of the plaintiffs' shares.

The proposed settlement includes a provision that would require Crowley to pay attorneys' fees and costs incurred by the plaintiffs in prosecuting the Franklin Fund Action. Crowley would be looking to its carriers to cover -- among other costs of the settlement -- those fees and costs, as well as Crowley's own defense costs in excess of its self insured retention. However, before unequivocally accepting the plaintiffs' settlement offer and proceeding to complete the settlement, Crowley is asking its carriers to consent to the proposed settlement.

There is a court hearing on the proposed settlement set for April 27, 2007. We would appreciate hearing from you as soon as possible, so that Crowley can proceed, if possible, to seize this opportunity to obtain a favorable settlement.

Very truly yours,

PILLSBURY & LEVINSON, LLP

Philip L. Pillsbury, Jr. Richard D. Shively

bcc: Art Mead, Esq.
Steven Ficon
Philip L. Pillsbury, Jr.

Case 3:08-cv-00830-SI Document 73-2 Filed 08/01/2008 Page 6 of 15

EXHIBIT B

RICHARD D. SHIVELY

April 23, 2007

Via Federal Express

Henry Nicholls, Esq.
Chubb & Son, a division of
Federal Insurance Company
Directors and Officers Claims
15 Mountain View Road
P.O. Box 1616
Warren, NJ 07059-1616

Re: Franklin Balance Sheet Investment Fund et al. v. Crowley Maritime Corp. et al. Policy No. 8120-0792 Your Ref. No. 100304

Dear Mr. Nicholls:

This letter will confirm our telephone conversation last Friday, during which you agreed on behalf of Chubb that the submission, by your insured Crowley Maritime Corporation ("Crowley"), of a summary of the defense costs incurred in connection with the referenced action and supporting backup materials such as attorney fee invoices would not constitute any waiver of privileges (such as the attorney-client privilege and the attorney work product privilege) applicable to that summary and to those materials. You also agreed, on behalf of Chubb, that it would not contend that Crowley's submission of that summary and those materials to Chubb in unredacted form constituted any waiver of applicable privileges, or that such a submission would result in any waiver as to any other documents.

On the basis of those agreements, I am enclosing herewith -- pursuant to your request and in support of Crowley's request for Chubb's approval of the proposed settlement of the referenced action -- Crowley's summary of defense costs together with supporting backup materials. Those documents bear Bates Stamp Nos. CR-OM 001 to CR-OM 155.

I also agreed to provide you with the Franklin Fund plaintiffs' forthcoming application to the Delaware Chancery Court for the recovery of their attorneys' fees and litigation expenses promptly after it has been served. You told me that Chubb may wish

Henry Nicholly, 1936;30-SI Document 73-2 Filed 08/01/2008 Page 8 of 15 April 23, 2007 Page 2

to participate in the formulation of Crowley's response/opposition to the plaintiffs' fee request.

Please feel free to contact me regarding any questions you may have about the enclosed materials.

Very truly yours,

PILLSBURY & LEVINSON, LLP

y _____

Richard D. Shively

Encls.

Henry Nicholls, Esq. A Filed 08/01/2008 Page 9 of 15 Page 3

bcc: Art Mead, Esq. (w/o encls.)
Steven Ficon (w/o encls.)
Jon Abramczyk, Esq. (w/o encls.)
Philip L. Pillsbury, Jr., Esq. (w/o encls.)

Case 3:08-cv-00830-SI Document 73-2 Filed 08/01/2008 Page 10 of 15

EXHIBIT C

RICHARD D. SHIVELY

May 15, 2007

Via Federal Express

Henry Nicholls, Esq. Chubb & Son, a division of Federal Insurance Company Directors and Officers Claims 15 Mountain View Road P.O. Box 1616 Warren, NJ 07059-1616

> Re: Franklin Balance Sheet Investment Fund et al. v. Crowley Maritime Corp. et al. Policy No. 8120-0792 Your Ref. No. 100304

Dear Mr. Nicholls:

Pursuant to your request, enclosed is a copy of Plaintiffs' Brief In Support Of An Award of Attorneys' Fees and Expenses. I welcome your comments and suggestions about how Crowley should respond.

Best regards.

Sincerely,

Richard D. Shively

RDS:sm Enclosure

cc: Jeremy Salzman, Esq. (Hartford/Twin City) (w/ encl.)
Amy E. Johnson (RLI) (w/ encl.)

Case 3:08-cv-00830-SI Document 73-2 Filed 08/01/2008 Page 12 of 15 Henry Nicholls, Esq.
May 15, 2007
Page 2

bcc: Art Mead, Esq. (w/o encl.)
Steven Ficon (w/o encl.)
Jon Abramczyk, Esq. (w/o encl.)

EXHIBIT D

RICHARD D. SHIVELY

May 29, 2007

Via Federal Express

Henry Nicholls, Esq. Chubb & Son, a division of Federal Insurance Company Directors and Officers Claims 15 Mountain View Road P.O. Box 1616 Warren, NJ 07059-1616

> Re: Franklin Balance Sheet Investment Fund et al. v. Crowley Maritime Corp. et al. Policy No. 8120-0792 Your Ref. No. 100304

Dear Mr. Nicholls:

Enclosed is a copy of Crowley's opposition to the plaintiffs' application for attorneys' fees and expense reimbursement, which was filed last Friday.

Best regards.

Sincerely,

Richard D. Shively

RDS:sm

Encl. (Opp. Brief)

cc: Jeremy Salzman, Esq. (Hartford/Twin City) (w/ encl.)

Amy E. Johnson (RLI) (w/encl.)

Case 3:08-cv-00830-SI Document 73-2 Filed 08/01/2008 Page 15 of 15 Henry Nicholls, Esq.

May 29, 2007

bcc: Art Mead, Esq. (w/o encl.)
Steven Ficon (w/o encl.)

Page 2

Jon Abramczyk, Esq. (w/o encl.)

EXHIBIT E



Chubb Group of Insurance Companies P.O. Box 1616 Warren, NJ 07059-1616 www.chubb.com

June 14, 2007

Mr. Steve Ficon Crowley Maritime Corp. 155 Grand Avenue, Suite 700 Oakland, CA 94612

Re:

Insured:

Crowley Maritime Corp

Policy No.:

8120-07-92

Claim No.:

100304

Writing Company:

Federal Insurance Company

Claimant/Litigation:

Franklin Balance Sheer Investment Fund, et al.

Dear Mr. Ficon:

As I believe you are aware, I am handling this matter on behalf of Federal Insurance Company.

On March 22, 2007, I was informed by Jon Abramczyk of Morris, Nichols, Arscht & Tunnell, for the first time, that Crowley Maritime Corp ("Crowley or the Insured") had engaged in undisclosed settlement discussions as a result of which this matter was settled through a buy out arrangement with the plaintiffs and a commitment to pay a plaintiffs attorney fee award.

In this regard, subsection 16, titled Defense and Settlement, of the Executive Liability and Indemnification Coverage Section of Crowley's, Executive Protection Policy number 8120-0792 (the "Policy") provides in pertinent part that:

- (a) It shall be the duty of the Insureds and not the duty of the Company to defend Claims made against the Insureds.
- (b) The Insureds agree not to settle or offer to settle any Claim, incur any Defense Costs or otherwise assume any contractual obligation or admit any liability with respect to any Claim without the Company's prior written consent. The Company shall not be liable for any element of Loss incurred, for any obligation assumed, or for any admission made, by any Insured without the Company's prior written consent. Provided the Insureds comply with Subsections 16(c) and (d) below, the Company shall not unreasonably withhold any such consent.
- (c) With respect to any Claim that appears reasonably likely to be covered in whole or in part under this coverage section, the Company shall have the right and shall be given the opportunity to effectively associate with the Insureds, and shall be consulted in advance by the Insureds, regarding the investigation, defense and settlement of such Claim, including but not limited to selecting appropriate defense counsel and negotiating any settlement.

- (d) The Insureds agree to provide the Company with all information, assistance and cooperation which the Company may reasonably require and agree that in the event of a Claim the Insureds will do nothing that could prejudice the Company's position or its potential or actual rights of recovery.
- (e) Any advancement of **Defense Costs** shall be repaid to the Company by the **Insureds**, severally according to their respective interests, if and to the extent it is determined that such **Defense Costs** are not insured under this coverage section.

Please note that both the Insured's failure to advise Federal of the settlement negotiations and its settlement of this matter without consent violates the foregoing provisions of subsection 16 of the Policy.

Federal continues to expressly reserve all rights under the Policy and available at law including those arising out of the Insured's violation of subsection 16 of the Policy.

Should you have any questions concerning this letter in the meantime, please contact me at (908) 903-5453. My e-mail address is: hnicholls@chubb.com,

Sincerely,

Chubb & Son a division of Federal Insurance Company

by:

HENRY NICHOLLS, Esq. Eastern Specialty Claims hnicholls@chubb.com

/jl (crowley060707.doc)

ÇC:

Ms. Patricia Toner Crowley Maritime Corp. 155 Grand Avenue, Suite 700 Oakland, CA 94612

Maryann Schultz Aon Risk Services 1330 Post Oak Blvd., Suite 900 Houston, TX 77056

*2805-04579-21-20

Mandalla Mandarbu Mandalla Man

HWZJ CLASS PRESORTED

Ms. Patricia Toner

NOTIFY SENDER OF BOOK SO OS/S1/O7 CROWLEY NAME OF NEW ADDRESS SSS 12TH ST STE A100 OAKLAND OF SAGO1-4076 BC: 94807407830

Crowley Maritime Corp. 155 Grand Avenue, Suite 700 Oakland, CA !

CJFISED784075 (A) (*)

QUICEB D

CHUBB GROUP OF INSURANCE COMPANIES

P.O. Box 1616, Warren, No 07059-1616

ATTORNEYS A T

RICHARD D. SHIVELY

March 28, 2007

<u>Via Fedex Overnite</u>

Chubb Group of Insurance Companies

12 VREELAND ROAD

FLORHAM PARK, NJ 07932-9095

ATTN: HENRY NICHOLLS, ESQ.

Hartford/Twin City 2 Park Avenue

New York, NY 10016

Attn: Jeremy Salzman, Esq.

RLI INSURANCE COMPANY

525 W. VAN BUREN STREET, SUITE 350

CHICAGO, IL 60607

ATTN: AMY E. JOHNSON

COVERAGE

Executive Protection Portfolio (D&O, Fiduciary,

Crime & EPL)

CLAIM

CIVIL PROCEEDING: FRANKLIN BALANCE

SHEET INVESTMENT

FUND, ET AL.

V.

CROWLEY MARITIME

CORPORATION BOARD

OF DIRECTORS

CROWLEY MARITIME

CORPORATION

(NOMINAL DERIVATIVE

DEFENDANT)

Primary D&O

Insured: Crowley Maritime Corporation Policy Period: 11/1/2004-11/1/2005

Insurer: Federal Insurance Company (Chubb)

Limit: \$10M Term Aggregate XS \$500K

retention, each loss

Policy Number: 8120-0792

DATE OF CLAIM/SERVICE: 12/1/04

DESCRIPTION: STATUS REPORT

EXPENSE TO DATE: \$574,911.87

OUR REF# 04DO0001 CHUBB REF#: 100304

HARTFORD REF#: 05360917

RLI REF#: 00176418

First XS D&O

Insured: Crowley Maritime Corporation

Policy Period: 11/1/2004-11/1/2005

Insurer: Hartford/Twin City

Limit: \$10M Aggregate XS \$10M Underlying

Limits

Policy Number: 00 DA 0100967 04

Second XS D&O

Insured: Crowley Maritime Corporation Policy Period: 11/1/2004-11/1/2005 Insurer: RLI Insurance Company

Limit: \$5M Aggregate XS \$20M Underlying

Policy Number: EPG0002704A

Interested Underwriters:

This firm has been retained to represent your insured, Crowley Maritime Corporation ("Crowley"), in connection with its claim for insurance coverage for the referenced action (the "Franklin Fund Action"). We are writing to inform you that an opportunity has arisen to settle the action on favorable terms, and to seek your consent to pursue such a settlement.

The settlement opportunity arose when the plaintiffs in the Franklin Fund Action offered to dismiss their lawsuit if they and the other unaffiliated holders of Crowley common stock were given an opportunity, through a tender offer, to sell their common stock for \$2,990 per share in cash. A proposed settlement on those terms has not yet been consummated, and is subject to three contingencies. First, the tender offer must be accepted by a prescribed proportion of the unaffiliated common stock holders. Second, the proposed settlement must be approved by the Delaware Chancery Court. Third, the lawsuit must be dismissed and the time for an appeal must expire.

The proposed settlement would be in the best interest of both Crowley and its D&O insurers for two reasons. First, it would eliminate the potential for a large judgment against Crowley that might well be covered under the policies referenced above. Second, it would result in Crowley no longer being a public company -- which, in turn, would reduce the potential for future claims that might trigger coverage under the referenced policies.

After the plaintiffs had initiated the idea of a buyout to settle the Franklin Fund Action, Crowley was constrained by significant confidentiality issues that prevented it, as a practical matter, from immediately inviting its D&O carriers to join in the negotiations. Those issues related not only to applicable SEC disclosure rules, but also to financing arrangements necessary to fund the proposed purchase of the plaintiffs' shares.

The proposed settlement includes a provision that would require Crowley to pay attorneys' fees and costs incurred by the plaintiffs in prosecuting the Franklin Fund Action. Crowley would be looking to its carriers to cover -- among other costs of the settlement -- those fees and costs, as well as Crowley's own defense costs in excess of its self insured retention. However, before unequivocally accepting the plaintiffs' settlement offer and proceeding to complete the settlement, Crowley is asking its carriers to consent to the proposed settlement.

Page 3 of 3

There is a court hearing on the proposed settlement set for April 27, 2007. We would appreciate hearing from you as soon as possible, so that Crowley can proceed, if possible, to seize this opportunity to obtain a favorable settlement.

Very truly yours,

PILLSBURY & LEVINSON, LLP

Philip L. Pillsbury, Jr. Richard D. Shively

Ву

Richard D. Shively

bcc: Art Mead, Esq. Steven Ficon Philip L. Pillsbury, Jr.

RICHARD D. SHIVELY

April 23, 2007

Via Federal Express
Henry Nicholls, Esq.
Chubb & Son, a division of
Federal Insurance Company

Directors and Officers Claims
15 Mountain View Road
P.O. Box 1616

Warren, NJ 07059-1616

Re: Franklin Balance Sheet Investment Fund et al. v. Crowley Maritime Corp. et al. Policy No. 8120-0792 Your Ref. No. 100304

Dear Mr. Nicholls:

This letter will confirm our telephone conversation last Friday, during which you agreed on behalf of Chubb that the submission, by your insured Crowley Maritime Corporation ("Crowley"), of a summary of the defense costs incurred in connection with the referenced action and supporting backup materials such as attorney fee invoices would not constitute any waiver of privileges (such as the attorney-client privilege and the attorney work product privilege) applicable to that summary and to those materials. You also agreed, on behalf of Chubb, that it would not contend that Crowley's submission of that summary and those materials to Chubb in unredacted form constituted any waiver of applicable privileges, or that such a submission would result in any waiver as to any other documents.

On the basis of those agreements, I am enclosing herewith -- pursuant to your request and in support of Crowley's request for Chubb's approval of the proposed settlement of the referenced action -- Crowley's summary of defense costs together with supporting backup materials. Those documents bear Bates Stamp Nos. CR-OM 001 to CR-OM 155.

I also agreed to provide you with the Franklin Fund plaintiffs' forthcoming application to the Delaware Chancery Court for the recovery of their attorneys' fees and litigation expenses promptly after it has been served. You told me that Chubb may wish

Henry Nickells, 1930-SI Document 73-3 Filed 08/01/2008 Page 10 of 19 April 23, 2007 Page 2

to participate in the formulation of Crowley's response/opposition to the plaintiffs' fee request.

Please feel free to contact me regarding any questions you may have about the enclosed materials.

Very truly yours,

PILLSBURY & LEVINSON, LLP

Ву

Richard D. Shively

Encls.

Hease Nicholay 1998 30-SI Document 73-3 Filed 08/01/2008 Page 11 of 19 April 23, 2007 Page 3

bcc: Art Mead, Esq. (w/o encls.)
Steven Ficon (w/o encls.)
Jon Abramczyk, Esq. (w/o encls.)
Philip L. Pillsbury, Jr., Esq. (w/o encls.)

RICHARD D. SHIVELY

May 15, 2007

Via Federal Express

Henry Nicholls, Esq. Chubb & Son, a division of Federal Insurance Company Directors and Officers Claims 15 Mountain View Road P.O. Box 1616 Warren, NJ 07059-1616

> Re: Franklin Balance Sheet Investment Fund et al. v. Crowley Maritime Corp. et al. Policy No. 8120-0792 Your Ref. No. 100304

Dear Mr. Nicholls:

Pursuant to your request, enclosed is a copy of Plaintiffs' Brief In Support Of An Award of Attorneys' Fees and Expenses. I welcome your comments and suggestions about how Crowley should respond.

Best regards.

Sincerely,

Richard D. Shively

RDS:sm Enclosure

cc: Jeremy Salzman, Esq. (Hartford/Twin City) (w/ encl.)
Amy E. Johnson (RLI) (w/ encl.)

Henry Nicholls, Esq. May 15, 2007 Page 2

bcc: Art Mead, Esq. (w/o encl.)
Steven Ficon (w/o encl.)
Jon Abramczyk, Esq. (w/o encl.)

RICHARD D. SHIVELY

May 29, 2007

Via Federal Express

Henry Nicholls, Esq. Chubb & Son, a division of Federal Insurance Company Directors and Officers Claims 15 Mountain View Road P.O. Box 1616 Warren, NJ 07059-1616

> Re: Franklin Balance Sheet Investment Fund et al. v. Crowley Maritime Corp. et al. Policy No. 8120-0792 Your Ref. No. 100304

Dear Mr. Nicholls:

Enclosed is a copy of Crowley's opposition to the plaintiffs' application for attorneys' fees and expense reimbursement, which was filed last Friday.

Best regards.

Sincerely,

Richard D. Shively

RDS:sm

Encl. (Opp. Brief)

cc: Jeremy Salzman, Esq. (Hartford/Twin City) (w/ encl.)

Amy E. Johnson (RLI) (w/ encl.)

Case 3:08-cv-00830-SI Document 73-3 Page 15 of 19 Filed 08/01/2008

Henry Nicholls, Esq. May 29, 2007 Page 2

bcc: Art Mead, Esq. (w/o encl.) Steven Ficon (w/o encl.)

Jon Abramczyk, Esq. (w/o encl.)



ENDORSEMENT

Coverage Section: Executive Protection Portfolio General Terms and Conditions Section (Federal & Vigilant)

Effective date of

this endorsement: June 27, 2006

Company: Federal Insurance Company

Endorsement No. 6

To be attached to and

form a part of Policy No. 8120-0792

Issued to: CROWLEY MARITIME CORPORATION

AMEND ITEM 1 OF THE DECLARATIONS ENDORSEMENT

In consideration of the premium charged, it is agreed that Item 1 of the Declarations for these General Terms and Conditions is amended to read in its entirety as follows:

Item 1: Parent Organization:

Crowley Maritime Corporation

Principal Address:

attn: Risk Management Department

9487 Regency Square Blvd. Jacksonville, FL 32225

The title and any headings in this endorsement are solely for convenience and form no part of the terms and conditions of coverage.

All other terms, conditions and limitations of this policy shall remain unchanged.

Authorized Representative

Sout Hamburger



Chubb Group of Insurance Companies 15 Mountain View Road Warren, New Jersey 07059

Executive Protection Portfolio SM General Terms and Conditions Section

DECLARATIONS

FEDERAL INSURANCE COMPANY

A stock insurance company, incorporated under the laws of Indiana, herein called the Company

Policy Number: 8120-0792

THE EXECUTIVE LIABILITY AND ENTITY SECURITIES LIABILITY, FIDUCIARY LIABILITY, OUTSIDE DIRECTORSHIP LIABILITY AND EMPLOYMENT PRACTICES LIABILITY COVERAGE SECTIONS (WHICHEVER ARE PURCHASED) PROVIDE CLAIMS MADE COVERAGE, WHICH APPLIES ONLY TO "CLAIMS" FIRST MADE DURING THE "POLICY PERIOD", OR ANY EXTENDED REPORTING PERIOD. THE APPLICABLE LIMIT(S) OF LIABILITY TO PAY "LOSS" WILL BE REDUCED, AND MAY BE EXHAUSTED, BY THE PAYMENT OF "DEFENSE COSTS" UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED HEREIN. "DEFENSE COSTS" WILL BE APPLIED AGAINST THE RETENTION. READ THE ENTIRE POLICY CAREFULLY.

Item 1. Parent Organization:

CROWLEY MARITIME CORPORATION

Principal Address:

Attn: Risk Management Dept. 9487 Regency Sq. Blvd. Jacksonville, FL 32225

Item 2. Policy Period:

From 12:01 A.M. on November 1, 2006

To 12:01 A.M. on November 1, 2007

Local time at the address shown in Item 1.

Item 3. Coverage Summary

Description:

GENERAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS

EXECUTIVE LIABILITY AND ENTITY SECURITIES LIABILITY

EMPLOYMENT PRACTICES LIABILITY

FIDUCIARY LIABILITY

CRIME

Item 4. Termination of

Prior Bonds or Policies: 8120-0792 (Nov 1, 2005 - Nov 1, 2006)

$\mathsf{CROWLEY}^\circ$

November 17, 2006

Chubb Group of Insurance Companies 12 Vreeland Road Florham Park, NJ 07932-9095 Attn: Henry Nicholls, Esa

Hartford/Twin City 2 Park Avenue New York, NY 10016 Attn: Jeremy Salzman, Esq.

RLI Insurance Company 525 W. Van Buren Street Suite 350 Chicago, IL 60607 Attn: Amy E. Johnson

COVERAGE

Executive Protection Portfolio (D&O, Fiduciary, Crime & EPL)

Primary D&O

Insured: Crowley Maritime Corporation Policy Period: 11/1/2004-11/1/2005

Insurer: Federal Insurance Company (Chubb)

Limit: \$10M Term Aggregate XS \$500K retention, each loss Policy Number: 8120-0792

First XS D&O

Insured: Crowley Maritime Corporation Policy Period: 11/1/2004-11/1/2005

Insurer: Hartford/Twin City
Limit: \$10M Aggregate XS \$10M Underlying Limits
Policy Number: 00 DA 0100967 04

Second XS D&O

Insured: Crowley Maritime Corporation Policy Period: 11/1/2004-11/1/2005 Insurer: RLI Insurance Company

Limit: \$5M Aggregate XS \$20M Underlying Limit

Policy Number: EPG0002704A

CLAIM

Civil Proceeding: Franklin Balance Sheet Investment Fund, et al.

V.

Crowley Maritime Corporation

Board of Directors

Crowley Maritime Corporation (Nominal Derivative Defendant)

Date of Claim/Service: 12/1/04 **Description:** Status Report Expense to date: \$444,851.70

Our ref#: 04DO0001 Chubb Ref #: 100304 Hartford Ref #: 05360917 RLI Ref #: 00176418

Interested Underwriters:

I am writing to provide a status update on the referenced action.

As you may recall, on November 30, 2004 Franklin Balance Sheet Investment Fund and a number of other investment funds filed derivative and direct claims against Crowley's board of directors alleging that certain directors violated fiduciary duties by entering into split dollar life insurance agreements with Mr. Crowley and certain trusts. The complaint sought a declaration that the action could properly be brought as both a class and derivative action, a declaration that the alleged policy of entrenching Mr. Crowley as controlling stockholder is illegal and void, and an award of damages equal to the premiums

RE: Franklin Balance Sheet Investment Fund, et al. vs. Crowley Maritime Corporation Board of Directors

November 17, 2006 Page 2

paid by the company on the split dollar life insurance plus interest, the costs and expenses incurred by the Company in implementing the alleged policy and attorneys fees and costs. Defendants moved to dismiss the complaint and plaintiff's filed a motion for leave to amend the complaint and to allow another stockholder to intervene. Full details of the cumulative briefing is provided in the enclosed

September 26, 2006 litigation status report prepared by defense counsel Morris, Nichols, Arsht and Tunnell.

On October 19, 2006, the Court granted Plaintiff's motion for leave to amend the complaint and intervene a new plaintiff and ordered the Plaintiffs to promptly amend their complaint. The Court also sanctioned the Plaintiff's \$10,000 to reimburse the Defendant for legal fees incurred in part by the Plaintiff's failure to initially file a legally complete complaint. A copy of the Court's October 19, 2006 Memorandum Opinion is enclosed.

On October 25, 2006, the Plaintiffs' paid their sanctions, which amount was credited to defense counsel's fee statement. On October 26, 2006 the Plaintiff's filed and served their Amended Complaint, copy of which is also enclosed.

I would also like to advise, as you will note in the caption, that total defense costs incurred to date are fast approaching the \$500,000 deductible. We plan on submitting an itemized list and copies of all defense invoices to Chubb within the 60 days to facilitate future requests for reimbursement. Hartford /Twin City and RLI should let me know if they would like copies of these invoices as well.

We will keep Underwriters advised of further developments.

Very truly yours

Steven J. Ficon, CPCU Manager, Corporate Claims

w/ enclosures

cc: Maryann Schultz / Aon Financial Services Group, Inc Dwight Menard Art Mead Case 3:08-cv-00830-SI Document 73-4 Filed 08/01/2008 Page 1 of 6

EXHIBIT F

PILLSBURY & LEVINSON, LLP

RICHARD D. SHIVELY

July 11, 2007

Via U.S. Mail

Henry Nicholls, Esq. Chubb Group of Ins. Cos. Eastern Specialty Claims P.O. Box 1616 Warren, NJ 07059-1616

Re:

Insured:

Crowley Maritime Corp.

Policy No.:

8120-07-92 100304

Claim No.:

100304

Writing Co.:

Federal Ins. Co.

Claimant/Litig.:

Franklin Balance Sheet Investment Fund, et al.

Dear Mr. Nicholls:

On June 14, 2007, you directed a letter (copy enclosed) to Steve Ficon of Crowley Maritime Corporation ("Crowley") which improperly attempted to avoid coverage responsibility for a court-approved settlement that Crowley entered into with Chubb's full knowledge and oral consent. Your letter was directed to Mr. Ficon without notice or copy to me, even though you knew I represent Crowley in connection with this matter, and it significantly distorts the record of conversations between Crowley and Chubb. Furthermore, you directed the Ficon correspondence to an address that you knew Crowley had not used for more than a year. Fortunately, the letter eventually came to our attention. We are perplexed that Chubb now appears to be withdrawing the consent it previously gave to the settlement approved by the court on April 27, 2007.

You have known for more than three months that I represent Crowley in connection with the referenced claim. I notified you, by letter sent March 28, 2007 (copy enclosed), that "[Pillsbury & Levinson] has been retained to represent your insured [Crowley] in connection with its claim for insurance coverage for the referenced [Franklin Fund] action." I wrote on March 28 "to inform you that an opportunity ha[d] arisen to settle the action on favorable terms, and to seek your consent to pursue such a settlement." I told you that the settlement opportunity arose when the plaintiffs in the Franklin Fund Action approached Crowley with a settlement proposal, and that the settlement had not yet been consummated. Indeed, I emphasized that before "accepting

Case 3:08-cv-00830-SI Henry Nicholls, Esq. July 11, 2007 Page 2

the plaintiffs' settlement offer and proceeding to complete the settlement, Crowley is asking its carriers to consent to the proposed settlement."

A week later – on April 5, 2007 – you responded by calling my office. You told me that Chubb "ha[d] no problem with consenting" to the settlement, but needed to know the details of the proposed settlement. I offered to send you the stipulation which set forth the terms of the proposed settlement, but you told me you had already received that stipulation from Crowley's defense counsel, Mr. Abramczyk. You asked me to send you defense counsels' invoices covering all defense costs incurred to date, and I did so by letter dated April 23, 2007 (copy enclosed). The letter recited that I was sending the invoices "pursuant to your request and in support of Crowley's request for Chubb's approval of the proposed settlement."

You also asked me to send you copies of the *Franklin Fund* plaintiffs' application to the Delaware Chancery Court for the recovery of their attorneys' fees, and of Crowley's opposition brief. The former I sent to you by letter dated May 15, 2007 (copy enclosed), and the latter by letter dated May 29, 2007 (copy enclosed). As my letter of May 15 reflects, I sought "your comments and suggestions about how Crowley should respond" to the plaintiffs' fee request. You, however, never provided any input.

You told me over the telephone on April 5, 2007 that Chubb had "no problem with consenting" to the settlement. Three weeks later, the Delaware Chancery Court approved the settlement. Then, ten weeks after you had indicated to me that Chubb consented to the settlement, you sent a letter to Mr. Ficon of Crowley (that was directed to an address in Oakland, California that Crowley stopped using more than a year ago) in which you state that Crowley's "settlement of this mater without consent violates the [Chubb/Federal] Policy." The letter offers no explanation for Chubb's change of position.

Surely you must know that it was an ethical violation for you to communicate directly with Mr. Ficon about Crowley's claim, since you know very well – as a result of our past communications – that I am representing Crowley in connection with the referenced claim. See ABA Model Rules of Professional Conduct, Rule 4.2 ("Communication With Person Represented By Counsel"). You failed even to send me a copy of your letter. To make matters worse, you sent the letter to an address Crowley left more than a year ago, apparently to create additional delay before the letter was actually received. I am enclosing Endorsement No. 6 to the subject Chubb/Federal policy. It shows that, effective June 27, 2006, the address of Crowley's risk management department (where Mr. Ficon is employed) changed from Oakland, California to Jacksonville, Florida. Also enclosed is the Declarations page from the current policy (in effect from November 1, 2006 to November 1, 2007), which lists Crowley's address as "9487 Regency Square Boulevard, Jacksonville, FL 32225." Also enclosed is a letter Mr. Ficon sent to you last year (on November 17, 2006) – before my firm was retained to represent Crowley – which showed Crowley's address in Jacksonville, Florida.

Document 73-4 Filed 08/01/2008 Page 4 of 6

Case 3:08-cv-00830-SI Henry Nicholls, Esq. July 11, 2007 Page 3

In short, you had no business communicating directly with Crowley about the claim, since you knew I was representing the Company, and you must have known you were sending the letter to an address that was no longer current. Even worse, you purport – on behalf of Chubb – to reverse your earlier position that Chubb consented to the settlement at a time when you knew it was too late for the settlement to be unwound. You have acted unprofessionally and surreptitiously, and in a way apparently calculated to deprive Crowley of its rights under the subject policy.

To make matters worse, your letter misstates the facts. It says that Crowley's defense counsel, Mr. Abramczyk, informed you on March 22, 2007 that Crowley "had engaged in undisclosed settlement discussions as a result of which this matter was settled" You also argue that Crowley's "failure to advise Federal of the settlement negotiations and its settlement of this matter without consent" violates Subsection 16 of the Chubb/Federal policy. All of these statements are inaccurate. Mr. Abramczyk never told you that Crowley had "offered to settle" the referenced case. He merely informed you that the proposed settlement - which had not yet been finalized - required Crowley to offer to buy out the minority shareholders. There was nothing wrong about Crowley engaging in negotiations concerning the plaintiffs' offer to settle the case. Subsection 16 does not prohibit the insured from discussing a claimant's offer to settle. Nor does Subsection 16 require the insured to advise the insurer that it is discussing or negotiating a claimant's offer to settle. Finally, it is incorrect that Crowley settled this matter without seeking or obtaining Chubb's/Federal's consent. Your conduct and representations on behalf of Chubb/Federal reasonably led Crowley to believe that the insurer's consent had been obtained.

California's Fair Claims Settlement Practices Act makes it an unfair method of competition, and an unfair and deceptive act or practice in the business of insurance, for an insurer to knowingly "[f]ail[] to acknowledge and act reasonably promptly upon communications with respect to claims arising under insurance policies." Cal. Ins. Code Section 790.03(h)(2). California's Fair Claims Settlement Practices Regulations provide as follows: "Upon receiving any communication from a claimant, regarding a claim, that reasonably suggests that a response is expected, every licensee shall immediately, but in no event more than fifteen (15) calendar days after receipt of that communication, furnish the claimant with a complete response based on the facts as then known by the licensee." 10 Cal. Admin. Code Section 2695.5(b).

Crowley asked for Chubb's/Federal's consent on March 28, 2007; your statements over the telephone a week later indicated that consent had been granted. You knew that the Delaware Court's approval of the settlement was being sought but had not yet been obtained, and that the proposed settlement could still be unwound, for reasons among others that included Chubb's withholding its consent. Then, after you learned that the settlement had been completed, you then directed a letter – without notice to me – to an address you knew my client had not used for more than a year, claiming that Chubb did not consent. Under all these circumstances, reasonable notice has been given, Crowley has fully performed its obligations under the policy, and Chubb/Federal has either consented, has waived any right to withhold its consent, or is now estopped from

Case 3:08-cv-00830-SI Document 73-4 Filed 08/01/2008 Page 5 of 6 Henry Nicholls, Esq.

July 11, 2007 Page 4

withholding its consent to the settlement. The subject policy specifically provides that the insurer "shall not unreasonably withhold [its] consent." (See Subsection 16(b).) In engaging in the unreasonable (indeed, unconscionable) conduct I have described, Chubb/Federal has breached the provisions of Subsection 16 of the policy.

Please advise me promptly that Chubb/Federal is withdrawing its improper and unethical attempt to avoid coverage by purporting to reverse its prior consent to the settlement.

Very truly yours,

Richard D. Shively

RDS:jb Enclosures

cc:

Art Mead, Esq. (w/ encls.)

Steven Ficon (w/ encls.)

Jon Abramczyk, Esq. (w/ encls.) Jeremy Salzman, Esq. (w/ encls.)

Amy E. Johnson (w/ encls.)

ATTORNEYS

Henry Nicholls, Esq. July 11, 2007 Page 5

bcc: Philip L. Pillsbury, Jr., Esq. (w/ encls.)

EXHIBIT G

DISCLOSURES - Case No. 08-0830 SI

Case 3:08-cv-00830-SI Document 73-5 Filed 08/01/2008

Defendant and Counterclaimant Twin City Fire Insurance Company ("Twin City") hereby submits the following initial disclosures of information known to it at this time pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. Proc. 26(a)(1):

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

LA 51051528

TO CHARLE THE CONTRACTOR

- 1. The following disclosures are based upon information reasonably available to Twin City. To the best of Twin City's knowledge, information and belief these disclosures are complete and correct as of the date they are made. Nevertheless, Twin City anticipates that it will obtain additional facts, identify additional persons who may have knowledge relevant to the issues in this action and identify additional documents relevant to the factual disputes in this action through its continuing pre-trial research, investigation and analysis and through discovery. Accordingly, Twin City expressly reserves its rights: (a) to make subsequent revision, supplementation or amendment to these disclosures based upon any information, evidence, documents, facts and things which hereafter may be discovered, or the relevance of which may hereafter be discovered; and (b) to produce, introduce or rely upon additional or subsequently acquired or discovered writings, evidence and information at trial or in any pre-trial proceedings held herein.
- 2. Twin City objects to any disclosure of information or documents beyond that required by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Federal Rules of Evidence, the Local Rules of the Northern District of California or other applicable law.
- 3. Twin City does not, and will not, provide herein any information or documents protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-product doctrine, privacy rights, applicable regulatory privileges or any other privilege or immunity.

A. PERSONS LIKELY TO HAVE DISCOVERABLE INFORMATION THAT TWIN CITY MAY USE TO SUPPORT ITS CLAIM OR DEFENSES

As of the date of these Initial Disclosures, Twin City believes, based on information reasonably available to it, that the following individuals may have discoverable information that Twin City may use in support of its claim against Crowley Maritime Corporation ("CMC") and in support of its defense against CMC's claims:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1.7

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1. The following current and former Twin City personnel may have relevant
information concerning the Excess Financial Products Insurance Policy, viz., policy number 00 DA
0100967-04, for the policy period of November 1, 2004 to November 1, 2005, issued by Twin City
to CMC (the "Twin City Policy"); correspondence between CMC or CMC's counsel or insurance
broker with Twin City or Twin City's counsel regarding an underlying litigation entitled Franklin
Balance Sheet Investment Fund v. Crowley, C.A. No. 888-VCP, Delaware Court of Chancery (the
"Franklin Fund action"); and Twin City's coverage decisions re CMC's claims under the Twin
City Policy:

Jeremy S. Salzman Claims Consultant The Hartford 2 Park Avenue New York, NY 10016 (212) 277-0426

Brian Zink (formerly an Executive Underwriter for the Hartford, no longer employed by the Hartford) Last known business address: The Hartford 2 Park Avenue New York, NY 10016

Upon information and belief, Mr. Zink has accepted a position at: Beazley Co. 400 Madison Avenue Suite 6C New York, NY 10017

The following Federal Insurance Company ("Federal") personnel may have 2. relevant information concerning an Executive Protection Portfolio, policy number 8120-0792, issued by Federal to CMC for the policy period of November 1, 2004 to November 1, 2005 (the "Federal Policy"); correspondence between CMC or CMC's counsel or insurance broker with Federal or Federal's counsel regarding the Franklin Fund action; and Federal's coverage decisions re CMC's claims under the Federal Policy:

LA 51051528

Henry Nicholls
Chubb & Sons, a division of Federal Insurance Company
Directors and Officers Claims
15 Mountain View Road
P.O. Box 1616
Warren, NJ 07059-1616
(908) 903-2000

Tracy S. Tkac
Directors & Officers Liability
Chubb & Sons, a division of Federal Insurance Company
Directors and Officers Claims
15 Mountain View Road
P.O. Box 1616
Warren, NJ 07059-1616
(908) 903-2000

3. Jon Abramczyk, John DiTomo and all other attorneys and paralegals from Morris, Nichols, Arsht & Tunnell, LLP, 1201 North Market Street, P.O. Box 1347, Wilmington, DE 19899-1347, (302) 658-9200. Upon information and belief, Messrs. Abramcyzk and DiTomo were CMC's local counsel in the Franklin Fund action, and Messrs. Abramcyzk, DiTomo and other attorneys and paralegals from Morris, Nichols, Arsht & Tunnell, LLP may have knowledge of the Franklin Fund action, the reporting of and/or notice given of the Franklin Fund action and settlement to Twin City and Federal, and other facts relevant to CMC's claims for coverage under the Federal and Twin City Policies and Twin City's counterclaim for breach of contract.

4. Richard D. Shively, Philip L. Pillsbury, Jr., and all other attorneys and paralegals from Pillsbury & Levinson, LLP, Transamerica Pyramid, 600 Montgomery Street, 31st Floor, San Francisco, California, 94111, (415) 433-8000. Upon information and belief, Messrs. Shivley and Pillsbury represent CMC in connection with its claim for insurance coverage under the Federal and Twin City Policies in connection with the Franklin Fund action. Messrs. Shively, Pillsbury, and other paralegals and attorneys from Pillsbury & Levinson, LLP may have knowledge of the Franklin Fund action, the reporting of and/or notice given of the Franklin Fund action and settlement to Twin City and Federal, and other facts relevant to CMC's claims for coverage under the Federal and Twin City Policies and Twin City's counterclaim for breach of contract.

LA 51051528

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

	5.	James Kramer, Richard Smith, and all other attorneys and paralegals from
Or.	rick, Herri	ington & Sutcliffe, LLP, 405 Howard Street, San Francisco, California, 94105, (415)-
773	3-5700. Up	oon information and belief, Messrs. Kramer and Smith were CMC's counsel in the
Fre	anklin Fun	ed action, and Messrs. Kramer, Smith, and other attorneys and paralegals from Orrick,
Не	rrington &	Sutcliffe, LLP may have knowledge of the Franklin Fund action and of facts relevan
to (CMC's cla	ims for coverage under the Federal and Twin City Policies.

- 6. Bruce Alan Mann, Michael O'Bryan, and all other attorneys and paralegals from Morrison & Foerster LLP, 425 Market Street, San Francisco, California, 94105, (415) 268-7000. Upon information and belief, Messrs. Mann and O'Bryan were counsel to the Special Committee of the Board of Directors of CMC in connection with the Franklin Fund settlement, and Messrs. Mann, O'Bryan, and all other attorneys and paralegals of Morrison & Foerster LLP may have knowledge of the Franklin Fund settlement and of facts relevant to CMC's claims for coverage under the Federal and Twin City Policy.
- Thomas Crowley. Upon information and belief, during all or part of the relevant time period (from the filing of the complaint in the Franklin Fund action through the Delaware Chancery Court's approval of the *Franklin Fund* settlement), Mr. Crowley was Chairman, President and CEO of CMC, and as such, Mr. Crowley authorized, directed and managed the affairs of CMC. Mr. Crowley was a defendant in the Franklin Fund action and may have knowledge of the Franklin Fund action and of facts relevant to CMC's claims for coverage under the Federal and Twin City Policies. Mr. Crowley's address and telephone number are already known to CMC.
- Molly Crowley. Upon information and belief, during all or part of the relevant 8. time period Ms. Crowley was a member of the Board of Directors of CMC and as such authorized, directed and managed the affairs of CMC. Ms. Crowley was a defendant in the Franklin Fund action and may have knowledge of the Franklin Fund action and of facts relevant to CMC's claims for coverage under the Federal and Twin City Policies. Ms. Crowley's address and telephone number are already known to CMC.

LA 51051528

Philip E. Bowles. Upon information and belief, during all or part of the relevant

9.

1

10

11

12

13 14

STROOCK & STROOCK & LAVAN LLP

2029 Century Park East

Los Angeles, California 90067-3086

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2526

27

28

and may have knowledge of the *Franklin Fund* action and of facts relevant to CMC's claims for coverage under the Federal and Twin City Policies. Mr. Bowles' address and telephone number are already known to CMC.

10. William A. Pennella. Upon information and belief, during all or part of the relevant time period, Mr. Pennella was Vice Chairman of CMC's board and Executive Vice

time period Mr. Bowles was a member of the Board of Directors of CMC and as such authorized,

directed and managed the affairs of CMC. Mr. Bowles was a defendant in the Franklin Fund action

relevant time period, Mr. Pennella was Vice Chairman of CMC's board and Executive Vice President of CMC and as such authorized, directed and managed the affairs of CMC. Mr. Pennella was a defendant in the *Franklin Fund* action and may have knowledge of the *Franklin Fund* action and of facts relevant to CMC's claims for coverage under the Federal and Twin City Policies. Mr. Pennella's address and telephone number are already known to CMC.

11. Gary Depolo. Upon information and belief, during all or part of the relevant time period, Mr. Depolo was a member of the Board of Directors of CMC and as such authorized, directed and managed the affairs of CMC. Mr. Depolo was Chairman of the Special Committee of the Board of Directors of CMC, which recommended the Franklin Fund settlement to CMC's shareholders, and a defendant in the Franklin Fund action. Mr. Depolo may have knowledge of the Franklin Fund action and of facts relevant to CMC's claims for coverage under the Federal and Twin City Policies. Mr. Depolo's address and telephone number are already known to CMC.

12. Earl Kivett. Upon information and belief, during all or part of the relevant time period, Mr. Kivett was a member of the Board of Directors of CMC and as such authorized, directed and managed the affairs of CMC. Mr. Kivett was a member of the Special Committee of the Board of Directors of CMC, which recommended the Franklin Fund settlement to CMC's shareholders, and a defendant in the Franklin Fund action. Mr. Kivett may have knowledge of the Franklin Fund action and of facts relevant to CMC's claims for coverage under the Federal and Twin City Policies. Mr. Kivett's address and telephone number are already known to CMC.

9

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27 28

Los Angeles, California 90067-3086 2029 Century Park East

STROOCK & STROOCK & LAVAN LLP

Leland Prussia. Upon information and belief, during all or part of the relevant 13. time period, Mr. Prussia was a member of the Board of Directors of CMC and as such authorized, directed and managed the affairs of CMC. Mr. Prussia was a member of the Special Committee of the Board of Directors of CMC, which recommended the Franklin Fund settlement to CMC's shareholders, and a defendant in the Franklin Fund action. Mr. Prussia may have knowledge of the Franklin Fund action and of facts relevant to CMC's claims for coverage under the Federal and Twin City Policies. Mr. Prussia's address and telephone number are already known to CMC.

- Cameron Wolfe, Jr.. Upon information and belief, during all or part of the 14. relevant time period, Mr. Wolfe was a member of the Board of Directors of CMC and as such authorized, directed and managed the affairs of CMC. Mr. Wolfe was a defendant in the Franklin Fund action, and may have knowledge of the Franklin Fund action and of facts relevant to CMC's claims for coverage under the Federal and Twin City Policies. Mr. Wolfe's address and telephone number are already known to CMC.
- Art Mead. Upon information and belief, during all or part of the relevant time 15. period, Mr. Mead was Senior Vice President and General Counsel of CMC and as such authorized, directed and managed the affairs of CMC. Mr. Mead may have knowledge of the Franklin Fund action, the reporting of and/or notice given of the Franklin Fund action and settlement to Twin City and Federal, and other facts relevant to CMC's claims for coverage under the Federal and Twin City Policies and Twin City's counterclaim for breach of contract. Mr. Mead's address and telephone number are already known to CMC.
- William Verdon. Upon information and belief, Mr. Verdon was a Senior Vice 16. President and General Counsel of CMC prior to October 12, 2005, and a consultant thereafter for all or part of the remaining relevant time period. As such, Mr. Verdon such authorized, directed and managed the affairs of CMC. Mr. Verdon may have knowledge of the Franklin Fund action and of facts relevant to CMC's claims for coverage under the Federal and Twin City Policies. Mr. Verdon's address and telephone number are already known to CMC.

12

13 14

STROOCK & STROOCK & LAVAN LLP

Los Angeles, California 90067-3086

2029 Century Park East

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28

17. Steven Ficon. Upon information and belief, during all or part of the relevant time
period, Mr. Ficon was CMC's in-house counsel. Mr. Ficon may have knowledge of the Franklin
Fund action, the reporting of and/or notice given of the Franklin Fund action and settlement to
Twin City and Federal, and other facts relevant to CMC's claims for coverage under the Federal
and Twin City Policies and Twin City's counterclaim for breach of contract. Mr. Ficon's address
and telephone number are already known to CMC.

- Richard Swinton. Upon information and belief, during all or part of the relevant 18. time period, Mr. Swinton was CMC's Vice President of Tax & Audit. As such, Mr. Swinton authorized, directed and managed the affairs of CMC. Mr. Swinton may have knowledge of the Franklin Fund action and of facts relevant to CMC's claims for coverage under the Federal and Twin City Policies. Mr. Swinton's address and telephone number are already known to CMC.
- Jim Juergens. Upon information and belief, during all or part of the relevant 19. time period, Mr. Juergens was CMC's Vice President of Risk Management. As such, Mr. Jeurgens authorized, directed and managed the affairs of CMC. Mr. Jeurgens may have knowledge of the Franklin Fund action and of facts relevant to CMC's claims for coverage under the Federal and Twin City Policies. Mr. Juergens' address and telephone number are already known to CMC.
- R. Bruce McNew and all other attorneys and paralegals from Taylor & McNew, 20. LLP, 2710 Centerville Road, Suite 210, Wilmington, Delaware, 19808, (302) 655-9200. Upon information and belief, Mr. McNew was counsel for the plaintiffs in the Franklin Fund action, and Mr. McNew and other attorneys and paralegals from Taylor & McNew, LLP may have knowledge of the Franklin Fund action and of facts relevant to CMC's claims for coverage under the Federal and Twin City Policies.
- One or more individuals from JMP Securities, LLC, whose names are already 21. known to CMC, at 600 Montgomery Street, Suite 1100, San Francisco, California, 94111, (415) 835-8900. Upon information and belief, JMP Securities, LLC provided valuation expertise to the Special Committee of the Board of Directors of CMC with regards to the Franklin Fund settlement.

6

8

STROOCK & STROOCK & LAVAN LLP

Los Angeles, California 90067-3086

2029 Century Park East

Individuals from JMP Securities, LLC may have knowledge of the Franklin Fund settlement and of facts relevant to CMC's claims for coverage under the Federal and Twin City Policies.

- Gary Lutin, Lutin & Company, 575 Madison Avenue, 10th Floor, New York, 22. New York, 10022, (212) 605-0335. Upon information and belief, Mr. Lutin was a shareholder of CMC during all or part of the relevant time period and organized a shareholder forum for dissatisfied CMC minority shareholders in early 2004. Mr. Lutin may have knowledge relevant to CMC's knowledge of a potential claim prior to the inception of the Twin City and Federal Policies, and thus relevant to CMC's claims for coverage under the Federal and Twin City Policies.
- Any other attorneys, whose identities are known to CMC but not to Twin City, 23. with knowledge of the tender offer described in CMC's Schedule 13d and Form 14d-9 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on or about March 19, 2007 (the "Franklin Fund tender offer").
- The Person Most Knowledgeable regarding CMC's public filings with the 24. Securities and Exchange Commission pertaining to the Franklin Fund action, settlement, and tender offer.
- The Person Most Knowledgeable regarding Crowley Newco Corporation's role 25. in the Franklin Fund tender offer and settlement.
- The Person Most Knowledgeable at CMC regarding the Franklin Fund action, 26. settlement, and tender offer, the reporting of and/or notice given of the Franklin Fund action, settlement, and tender offer to Twin City and Federal, and other facts relevant to CMC's claims for coverage under the Federal and Twin City Policies and Twin City's claim for breach of contract.

DOCUMENTS TWIN CITY MAY USE TO SUPPORT ITS CLAIM OR DEFENSES В.

Pursuant to Rule 26(a)(1)(B) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and subject to the General Objections set forth above, set forth below is a listing of categories of documents Twin City may rely upon in supporting its claims in this action, as follows:

The Twin City Policy under which CMC may have made a claim for coverage with 1. respect to the Franklin Fund action, and all documents reflecting or otherwise relating thereto,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

including but not limited to applications by CMC; coverage positions taken as to the Franklin Fund action; the relevant, non-privileged portion of the claim file; and all information received by Twin City from CMC or CMC's counsel or insurance broker.

- The Federal Policy under which CMC may have made a claim for coverage with 2. respect to the Franklin Fund action, and all documents reflecting or otherwise relating thereto, including but not limited to applications by CMC; coverage positions taken as to the Franklin Fund action; the relevant, non-privileged portion of the claim file, and all information received by Federal from CMC or CMC's counsel or insurance broker.
- The pleadings, motions, declarations, affidavits and other papers filed, testimony 3. (including deposition testimony) recorded, documents, discovery responses and other evidence produced, and other documents associated with the Franklin Fund action, including, but not limited to, the Plaintiffs' complaint in the Franklin Fund action; the Plaintiffs' Brief in Support of the Proposed Settlement; the Stipulation and Agreement of Compromise, Settlement and Release; Plaintiffs' Application for Attorneys' Fees, and the transcript of the Hearing re Plaintiffs' Application for Attorneys' Fees.
- Documents related to the Franklin Fund action, the transactions and other matters 4. alleged therein, and CMC's claims for coverage under the Policies.
- Documents related to the Franklin Fund tender offer and settlement, the transactions 5. and other matters alleged therein, and CMC's claims for coverage under the Policies.
 - Valuation estimates relevant to the Franklin Fund action. 6.
- Valuation estimates relevant to the settlement of the Franklin Fund action and the 7. Franklin Fund tender offer.
- CMC's filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission relevant to the 8. Franklin Fund action, settlement and tender offer, including, but not limited to, CMC's financial statements filed during the relevant time period, and CMC's Form 14d-9 and Schedule 13d filed March 19, 2007.
 - CMC's press releases discussing the Franklin Fund action. 9.

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

10.	CMC's press releases discussing the <i>Franklin Fund</i> tender offer and settlement,
including, bu	t not limited to, a press release issued by CMC on or about March 19, 2007
announcing tl	ne settlement and tender offer.

- 11. Correspondence exchanged between CMC (and/or its representatives or counsel) and Messrs. Shively, Pillsbury, and all other attorneys and parallels at Pillsbury & Levinson, LLP, and vice versa, with respect to the Franklin Fund action, settlement, and tender offer, and/or CMC's claims for coverage under the Federal and Twin City Policies.
- 12. Correspondence exchanged between CMC (and/or its representatives or counsel) and Twin City and Federal (and/or their representatives or counsel), and vice versa, with respect to the Franklin Fund action, settlement, and tender offer, and/or CMC's claims for coverage under the Federal and Twin City Policies.

C. DAMAGES CLAIMED BY TWIN CITY

Twin City seeks damages in the amount of costs and fees incurred defending CMC's bad faith, breach of contract and declaratory relief claims in an amount to be determined at trial.

INSURANCE AGREEMENT D.

Except to the extent that the Twin City and Federal Policies identified above are arguably responsive, the material referenced in Rule 26(a)(1)(A)(iv) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure does not appear to be applicable to this action.

Ε. ATTORNEY CERTIFICATION

I, Rachael Shook, am an attorney with the law firm of Stroock & Stroock & Lavan LLP, counsel of record in the above-captioned matter for Twin City Fire Insurance Company. I hereby certify that, to the best of my knowledge, information and belief, formed after a reasonable inquiry, the disclosure is complete and correct as of the time it is made.

LA 51051528

25

26

27

28

•	1			
		DATED:	May 9, 2008	Respectfully submitted,
	3			STROOCK & STROOCK & LAVAN LLP Michael F. Perlis
	4			Richard R. Johnson Rachael Shook
	5			
	6			Rachael Shook
	7.			Attorneys for Defendant/Counterclaimant Twin City Fire Insurance Company
	8			
	9			
	10			
98	11			
902-208	12			
nia 900	13			
Califor	14	. '		
Los Angeles, California 90067-3086	15			
Los A	16			
	-17			
	18	·		
	20			
	21			
	22			
	23			
	24			
	25			
	26			
	27			
	28	I A 51051530		11
		LA 51051528 DEFI		VIN CITY FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY'S INITIAL

DISCLOSURES - Case No. 08-0830 SI

Document 73-5

Filed 08/01/2008

Page 13 of 16

Case 3:08-cv-00830-SI

STROOCK & STROOCK & LAVAN LLP

STROOCK & STROOCK & LAVAN LLP

	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	$\square \mathbf{E}$
STATE OF CALIFORNIA)) ss	
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	<i>'</i>	

I am employed by Stroock & Stroock & Lavan LLP in the County of Los Angeles, California 90067. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is: 2029 Century Park East, Los Angeles, CA 90067-3086.

On May 9, 2008, I served the foregoing document(s) described as: DEFENDANT AND COUNTERCLAIMANT TWIN CITY FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY'S INITIAL DISCLOSURES PURSUANT TO F.R.C.P. 26(a)(1) on the interested parties in this action by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope addressed as follows:

PLEASE SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST

	
X	(VIA U.S. MAIL) In accordance with the regular mailing collection and processing practices of this office, with which I am readily familiar, by means of which mail is deposited with the United States Postal Service at Los Angeles, California that same day in the ordinary course of business, I deposited such sealed envelope, with postage thereon fully prepaid, for collection and mailing on this same date following ordinary business practices, addressed as set forth below.
	(VIA FACSIMILE) By causing such document to be delivered to the office of the addressee via facsimile.
	(VIA OVERNIGHT DELIVERY) By causing such envelope to be delivered to the office of the addressee(s) at the address(es) set forth above by overnight delivery via Federal Express or by a similar overnight delivery service.
	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is
true ar	nd correct.
	Executed on May 9, 2008, at Los Angeles, California.
	Carmen Torres-Smith

LA 51051528

[Type or Print Name]

		•	
Case 3:08-cv-00830-SI	Document 73-5	Filed 08/01/2008	Page 15 of 16

SERVICE LIST 1 2 Joseph A. Bailey, III Hogan & Hartson LLP 3 **Federal Insurance** 555 Thirteenth Street NW Washington, DC 20004 representing Company 4 (Defendant) 202-637-5600 202-637-5910 (fax) 5 iabaileyIII@hhlaw.com 6 Eric Keith Larson Pillsbury & Levinson, LLP The Transamerica Pyramid 8 **Crowley Maritime** 600 Montgomery Street Corporation representing 31st Floor 9 San Francisco, CA 94111 (Plaintiff) 415.433.8000 10 415.433.4816 (fax) rlarson@pillsburylevinson.com 11 12 Karen Galit Levy Morison Ansa Holden Assuncao & Prough 13 500 Ygnacio Valley Road **RLI Insurance Company** 14 representing Suite 450 (Defendant) Walnut Creek, CA 94596 15 925-937-9990 925-937-3272 (fax) 16 karen.levy@morisonansa.com 17 William Campbell Morison-Knox 18 Morison-Knox Holden Melendez & Prough LLP 19 **RLI Insurance Company** 500 Ygnacio Valley Rd. representing (Defendant) Suite 450 20 Walnut Creek, CA 94596 (925) 937-9990 21 925-937-3272 (fax) 22 David Newmann 23 Hogan & Hartson Federal Insurance 1835 Market St., 28th Fl. 24 Company representing Philadelphia, PA 19103 (Defendant) 267-675-4610 25 267-675-4601 (fax) dnewmann@hhlaw.com 26 27 28 LA 51051528

DEFENDANT AND COUNTERCLAIMANT TWIN CITY FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY'S INITIAL DISCLOSURES - Case No. 08-0830 SI

1 **Kevin Andrew Norris** Rudloff Wood & Barrows LLP 2 2000 Powell Street Federal Insurance 3 Suite 900 Company representing Emeryville, CA 94608 (Defendant) 4 510 740-1500 510 740-1501 (fax) 5 knorris@rwblaw.com 6 Philip Landsdale Pillsbury, Jr. 7 Pillsbury & Levinson LLP The Transamerica Pyramid **Crowley Maritime** 8 600 Montgomery Street Corporation representing 31st Floor (Plaintiff) 9 San Francisco, CA 94111 415.433.8000 10 415.433.4816 (fax) PPillsbury@pillsburylevinson.com 11 12 Michael Dale Prough Morison Ansa Holden Assuncao & Prough, 13 LLP 500 Ygnacio Valley Road **RLI Insurance Company** 14 representing Suite 450 (Defendant) Walnut Creek, CA 94596 15 925-937-9990 925-937-3272 (fax) 16 michael.prough@morisonansa.com 17 George Edward Rudloff, Jr. 18 Rudloff Wood & Barrows LLP 2000 Powell Street **Federal Insurance** -19 Suite 900 Company representing Emeryville, CA 94608 (Defendant) 20 510-740-1500 x1515 (510) 740-1501 (fax) 21 erudloff@rwblaw.com 22 Richard Daniel Shively 23 Pillsbury & Levinson, LLP 600 Montgomery Street **Crowley Maritime** 24 31st floor Corporation representing San Francisco, CA 94111 (Plaintiff) 25 (415) 433-8000 (415) 433-4816 (fax) 26 rshively@pillsburylevinson.com 27 28 LA 51051528

2029 Century Park East

STROOCK & STROOCK & LAVAN LLP

Los Angeles, California 90067-3086

DEFENDANT AND COUNTERCLAIMANT TWIN CITY FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY'S INITIAL DISCLOSURES - Case No. 08-0830 SI

Case 3:08-cv-00830-SI Document 73-6 Filed 08/01/2008 Page 1 of 9

EXHIBIT H

Page 2 of 9 5

Case No. CV 08 0830 SI

I. Rule 26(a)(1)(A)(i)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Federal states that upon information and belief, individuals likely to have discoverable information that Federal may use to support its claims or defenses within the meaning of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1)(A)(i), include:

1. Tracy Tkac c/o Dave Newmann, Esq. Hogan & Hartson LLP 1835 Market Street, 28th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19103 Tel. (267) 675-4610

Mr. Tkac, a claims examiner with Federal, is expected to have knowledge Subjects: regarding plaintiff's requests for insurance coverage, Federal's responses thereto, and plaintiff's failures to meet conditions to coverage under the Policy.

2. Henry Nicholls c/o Dave Newmann, Esq. Hogan & Hartson LLP 1835 Market Street, 28th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19103 Tel. (267) 675-4610

Mr. Nicholls, formerly a claims examiner with Federal, is expected to have Subjects: knowledge regarding plaintiff's requests for insurance coverage, Federal's responses thereto, and plaintiff's failures to meet conditions to coverage under the Policy.

3. Eric Schall c/o Dave Newmann, Esq. Hogan & Hartson LLP 1835 Market Street, 28th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19103 Tel. (267) 675-4610

Mr. Schall, claims counsel with Federal, is expected to have knowledge Subjects: regarding plaintiff's requests for insurance coverage, Federal's responses thereto, and plaintiff's failures to meet conditions to coverage under the Policy.

The individual defendants in the Franklin Fund Action (Thomas B. Crowley, Jr.; 4. Molly Crowley; Phillip Bowles; William A. Pennella; Gary Depolo; Earl Kivett; Leland Prussia; and Cameron Wolfe).

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Subjects:	These individuals are expected to have knowledge regarding the events
leading up to the Fran	nklin Fund Action, the events and claims at issue in that Action, the conduc
and settlement of tha	Action, and the tender offer and short form merger.

5. Art Mead.

Mr. Mead, CMC's Senior Vice President and General Counsel, is expected to Subjects: have knowledge regarding the events and claims at issue in the Franklin Fund Action, and the conduct and settlement of that Action.

6. William Verdon.

Mr. Verdon, CMC's Senior Vice President and General Counsel prior to Subjects: October 12, 2005, is expected to have knowledge regarding the events leading up to the Franklin Fund Action, the events and claims at issue in that Action, and the conduct and settlement of that Action.

Steven Ficon. 7.

Mr. Ficon, Corporate Claims Manager for CMC, is expected to have Subjects: knowledge regarding plaintiff's requests for insurance coverage, Federal's responses thereto, and the conduct and settlement of the Franklin Fund Action.

8. Lawyers at the law firm of Morris, Nichols, Arsht & Tunnell, LLP, including Jon Abramczyk.

> Morris, Nichols, Arsht & Tunnell, LLP Chase Manhattan Centre, 18th Floor 1201 North Market Street P.O. Box 1347 Wilmington, DE 19899-1347 Tel. (302) 658-9200

These individuals represented the defendants in the Franklin Fund Action and Subjects: are expected to have knowledge regarding the events and claims at issue in the Franklin Fund Action, the conduct and settlement of that Action, the tender offer and short form merger, plaintiff's requests for insurance coverage, and Federal's responses thereto.

Lawyers at the law firm of Orrick Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP, including James 9. Kramer and Richard Smith.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Orrick Herrington & Su 405 Howard Street San Francisco, CA 9410 Tel. (415) 773-5700	
Subject	s: These individual
expected to have knowledge regarding	
the conduct and settlement of that Action	
requests for insurance coverage, and Fe	
10. I	Lawyers at the law firm
2	Faylor & McNew 2710 Centerville Road, Wilmington, DE 19808 Fel. (302) 655-9200

tcliffe LLP 5-2669

s represented CMC in the Franklin Fund Action and are the events and claims at issue in the Franklin Fund Action, on, the tender offer and short form merger, plaintiff's ederal's responses thereto.

of Taylor & McNew LLP, including Bruce McNew.

Suite 210

These individuals represented the plaintiffs in the Franklin Fund Action and Subjects: are expected to have knowledge regarding the conduct and settlement of the Franklin Fund Action, and the tender offer and short form merger.

Lawyers at the law firm of Morrison & Foerster LLP. 11.

> Morrison & Foerster LLP 425 Market Street San Francisco, CA 94105-2482

These individuals represented CMC's Special Committee in connection with Subjects: the tender offer and are expected to have knowledge regarding the tender offer and short form merger.

Lawyers at the law firm of Pillsbury & Levinson, LLP, including Richard D. 12. Shively.

> Pillsbury & Levinson, LLP The Transamerica Pyramid 600 Montgomery Street, 31st Floor San Francisco, CA 94111 Tel. (415) 433-8000

These individuals represented CMC in communications with the Insurers Subjects: regarding the settlement of the Franklin Fund Action and are expected to have knowledge regarding plaintiff's requests for insurance coverage and Federal's responses thereto.

9

6

25

27

13. One or more individuals from JMP Securities

These individuals, whose specific identities are presently unknown to Subjects: Federal, acted as financial advisors to CMC's Special Committee in connection with the tender offer and are expected to have knowledge regarding or related to the tender offer and short form merger.

14. One or more individuals from FMV Opinions

These individuals, whose specific identities are presently unknown to Subjects: Federal, provided certain valuation advice to Crowley Newco Corp. in connection with the tender offer and are expected to have knowledge regarding or related to the tender offer and short form merger.

One or more individuals from the independent fiduciary of the Crowley Maritime 15. Corporation Retirement Stock Plan, the Crowley Maritime Corporation Stock Savings Plan, and the Crowley Maritime Corporation Employee Stock Ownership Plan

These individuals are expected to have knowledge regarding or related to the Subjects: tender offer and short form merger.

16. Gary Lutin Lutin & Company 575 Madison Ave., 10th Floor New York, N.Y. 10022 Tel. (212) 605-0335

Mr. Lutin, who organized a shareholder forum for dissatisfied CMC minority Subjects: shareholders, is expected to have knowledge regarding the events leading up to the Franklin Fund Action.

- Persons listed in plaintiff's Rule 26(a)(1) disclosures. 17.
- Persons identified in answers to interrogatories and in responses to other discovery 18. propounded by any of the parties to this action.

II. Rule 26(a)(1)(A)(ii)

Federal provides the following description by category and location of all documents, electronically stored information and tangible things that are in its possession, custody or control

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

that Federal may use to support its claims or defenses, within the meaning of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(A)(1)(A)(Ii):

The non-privileged portions of Federal's claims file, including without limitation the following categories: the Federal Policy; correspondence with, between, or among Federal, the insureds, and others relating to the Federal Policy or the Franklin Fund Action; internal notes relating to the Franklin Fund Action and the determination of coverage therefore; and pleadings and filings from the Franklin Fund Action. Such non-privileged materials are located at Hogan & Hartson, LLP, 555 13th Street NW, Washington, D.C. 20004. In addition, documents now unknown to Federal may be in the possession of the plaintiff or third parties that are relevant to the claims and defenses asserted herein.

Rule 26(a)(1)(A)(iii) III.

N/A.

Rule 26(a)(1)(A)(iv)IV.

Federal states that, apart from any applicable reinsurance treaty, there is no insurance agreement under which any entity carrying on an insurance business "may be liable to satisfy all or part of a possible judgment in the action or to indemnify or reimburse for payments made to satisfy the judgment."

Date: May 9, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

RUDLOFF WOOD & BARROWS LLP

Kevin A. Norris

Of counsel:

David Newmann (admitted pro hac vice) Joseph A. Bailey III (admitted pro hac vice) HOĞAN & HARTSON L.L.P.

Attorneys for Defendant FEDERAL INSURANCE **COMPANY**

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE BY MAIL AND ELECTRONIC MAIL

Crowley Maritime Corp. v. Federal Insurance Company, et al. U.S.D.C. - Northern District of California No. CV 08 00830 SI

I, Carol E. Romo, hereby declare:

I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within cause. I am employed in the County of Alameda, California, in the office of a member of the bar of the court in which the within action is pending at whose direction the following service was made. My business address is Rudloff Wood & Barrows LLP, 2000 Powell Street, Suite 900, Emeryville, California.

I am personally and readily familiar with the business practice of Rudloff Wood & Barrows LLP for the collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service, pursuant to which mail placed for collection at designated stations in the ordinary course of business is deposited the same day, proper postage prepaid, with the United States Postal Service.

I am also personally and readily familiar with the business practice of Rudloff Wood & Barrows LLP for electronic service of documents via electronic mail.

On May 9, 2008, I served the within: **FEDERAL INSURANCE COMPANY'S INITIAL DISCLOSURES** on the parties named below in this action by (1) submitting via electronic submission via electronic mail and (2) placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on this date, following ordinary business practices, addressed as follows:

SEE SERVICE LIST ATTACHED.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration was executed on May 9, 2008, at Emeryville, California.

Carol E. Romo